

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it fell below the professed standard and quality under which it was sold, in that each fluid ounce thereof contained not more than 0.73 grain of ephedrine sulphate.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the statement on the label, to wit, "Each fluid ounce contains Ephedrine Sulphate 1 gr.", was false and misleading in that each fluid ounce contained not more than 0.73 grain of ephedrine sulphate.

On January 6, 1936, a plea of guilty was entered, a fine of \$10 was imposed, and costs were awarded against the defendant.

M. L. WILSON, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**25398. Misbranding of C N Dog Soap. U. S. v. West Disinfecting Co., a corporation. Plea of guilty. Defendant placed upon probation. (F. & D. no. 35982. Sample no. 22001-B.)**

Unwarranted curative and therapeutic claims were made for this article.

On December 12, 1935, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against the West Disinfecting Co., a corporation, Long Island City, N. Y., alleging shipment in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended, on or about January 4 and 16, 1935, from the County of Queens, N. Y., to Boston, Mass., and Newark, N. J., respectively, of quantities of C N Dog Soap which was misbranded. The article was labeled in part: "C N Dog Soap A West Guaranteed Product West Disinfecting Co., Long Island City, N. Y."

Analysis showed that the article consisted of soap, water, glycerin, coal tar, neutral oils, phenol, and zinc oxide.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the statements and designs on the label of the carton were false and fraudulent representations that the article contained ingredients or medicinal agents effective, among other things, as a "protection against \* \* \* infection."

The information further charged misbranding of the product and certain other products under the Insecticide Act of 1910 reported in notice of judgment no. 1452 published under that act.

On January 24, 1936, a plea of guilty was entered and a fine of \$100 was imposed on two counts of the information. Sentence was suspended on the remaining counts which included the charges under the Food and Drugs Act, and the defendant was placed on probation.

M. L. WILSON, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**25399. Misbranding of Dr. Ehrlich's Nerve Tonic and Sedative, Dr. Ehrlich's Tonic and Blood Purifier, and Dr. Ehrlich's Kidney and Bladder Medicine. U. S. v. K. W. Drug Co., a corporation, Bert L. Klein, Otis C. Altfeld, and Louis B. Weinberger. Pleas of nolo contendere. Fine, \$75 and costs. (F. & D. no. 35985. Sample nos. 2325-B, 23255-B, 23256-B.)**

Unwarranted curative and therapeutic claims were made for these articles.

On November 22, 1935, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Ohio, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against the K. W. Drug Co., a corporation, Cleveland, Ohio, and Bert L. Klein, Otis C. Altfeld, and Louis B. Weinberger, alleging shipment by them in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended, on or about February 15, 1935, from Cleveland, Ohio, to Cedar Rapids, Iowa, of quantities of Dr. Ehrlich's Nerve Tonic and Sedative, Dr. Ehrlich's Tonic and Blood Purifier, and Dr. Ehrlich's Kidney and Bladder Medicine which were misbranded. The articles were labeled in part: (Bottle) "Dr. Ehrlich's Nerve Tonic and Sedative \* \* \* Price, \$5.00 a bottle Dr. Ehrlich's Laboratory Cleveland, Ohio"; (bottle) "Dr. Ehrlich's Tonic and Blood Purifier \* \* \* Price \$5.00 a bottle"; (bottle) "Dr. Ehrlich's Kidney and Bladder Medicine \* \* \* Price \$5.00 a bottle."

Analyses showed that the nerve tonic and sedative contained chiefly sodium and ammonium bromide and phenobarbital; that the tonic and blood purifier contained chiefly plant extractive, hexamethylenamine, organic iron, sugar, and potassium iodide; and that the kidney and bladder medicine contained chiefly hexamethylene tetramine and emodin-bearing drugs.

The nerve tonic and sedative was alleged to be misbranded in that the label on the bottle bore false and fraudulent statements that the article was effective, among other things, as a nerve tonic; effective to restore and strengthen the